# Cognitive Asessment for the Determination of Mental Residual Functional Capacity

David J. Schretlen, PhD OIDAP Meeting April 29, 2009









### Mental/Cognitive

- Individual differences in cognitive test performance predict occupational attainment in healthy and clinical populations
- Often predicts work outcome better than primary symptom severity (eg, TBI, MS, Schizophrenia, etc.)
- This makes cognitive function a "final common pathway" of work disability in many diseases and conditions
- Thus, it is essential to include cognition in mental RFC
- Two ways to approach this
  - Performance-based measures (IQ, memory, attention testing)
  - Ratings (self- or informant-repot)

We must first decide what abilities to assess before we decide how to assess them

# Clinical approach: A view from the the perspective of what goes wrong

Domain affected	Disease/condition	Manifestation
Intelligence	Fragile X	Intellectual disability
Language	Stroke	Aphasia
Attention	Traumatic brain injury	Distractibility/ADD
Learning/memory	Korsakoff	Amnesia
Processing speed	Parkinson	Bradyphrenia/bradykinesia
Visual-spatial abilities	Lewy body	Agnosia
Executive functioning	Schizophrenia	Dysexecutive & abulia
Arithmetical abilities	Developmental	Acalculia
Skilled movement	Brain tumor	Apraxia
Wakefulness	Narcolepsy	Drowsiness

Psychometric approach: A view from the perspective of factor analyses

- EFA (exploratory factor analysis) is used to elucidate an underlying factor structure
- CFA (confirmatory factor analysis) is used to test a priori hypotheses
  - Based on a conceptual model or previous findings
  - Evaluate a model and compare it to specific alternatives
  - Test how well hypothesized models fit the observed data
    - Compare "nested" models (in which some models combine factors from preceding ones)

FACTOR ANALYSES	CFA: Confirmatory Factor Analysis, EFA: Exploratory factor analysis, BCPA: block principal component analysis, RCA: Reliable Components Analysis, PCA: Prin Components Analysis; SCFA: Single Confirmatory Factor Analysis, PAF: Prin Axis Factoring			
HEALTHY SAMPLES	Sample / Tests in Domain	Analysis	# Vars	# Factors
<u>Gomez et al., 2006</u>				
	521 Spanish-speaking Normal Control	EFA	27	6
1. Attentional-executive	category formation test, visual search, semantic verbal fluency, phonological verbal fluency, design fluency			
2. Contextual-exec memory	LMI, LMD, Verbal paired associates Immediate, & Delayed, motor functions			
3. Verbal memory	word list encoding, free recall, cued recall, recognition			
4. Sustained attention	time orientation, digit detection, mental control, faces immediate, faces delayed recall			
5. Atten - working memory	digit span forward, & backward, spatial span forward, & backward			
6. Orientation	place orientation, person orientation			
Tulsky et al., 2003				
	1,250 Normal Control (healthy adults aged 16 - 89)	CFA	26	6
1. Verbal comprehension	Vocabulary, Information, Similarities, Comprehension (Verbal Comp of WAIS-III)			
2. Perceptual organization	Matrix Reasoning, Block Design, Picture Completion (WAIS-III) Picture Arrangement (WMS-III)			
3. Auditory memory	Logical Mem I, Logical Mem II, Verbal Paired I, Verbal Paired II, Word List I, Word List II			
4. Visual memory	Faces I, Faces II, Family Picture I, Family Pictures II, Visual Reproduction I, Visual Reproduction II			
5. Working memory	Letter Number Sequencing, Digit Span, Arithmetic, Spatial Span			
6. Processing speed	Symbol Search, Digit Symbol			
Rowe et al., 2007				
	1,316 Normal Controls (mean age = 33, range 6-16)	PCA	19	7
1. Info processing & speed	Verbal Interference Test Part I, and II, Switching of Attention Test Parts I, and II, Choice Reaction Time test			
2. Verbal memory	Verbal Learning and Recall Test: delayed, recognition, immediate recall			
3. Viligance/sustained atten	CPT Reaction Time, CPT Errors			
4. Working memory	Digit Span forward, Digit Span backward, Span of Visual Memory Test			
5. Sensori-motor function	average pause between taps on tapping test for dominant and non-dominant hands			
6. Verbal processing	Letter Fluency, Category Fluency			
7. Executive function	Maze complettion time, Maze overrun errors, Span of Visual Memory Test			
Salthouse, 1998				
	Three healthy groups: children (age 5-17) n = 3,155 ; college students (age 18-22) n = 735; nonstudents (age 18-94) n = 1580			
	concept formation, calculation, app probs, science, social studies, humanities, incomplete words, visual closure, sound blending,			
1. General higher-order factor	memory for names, Visual-Auditory learning, memory for sentances, memory for words, visual matching, cross out	SCFA	16	1
Colom et al., 2009				
1. g (General Intelligence)	Adv Progressive Matrices (APM), Induct reason (PMA-R), abs reason (DAT-AR), vocab (PMA-V), verbal reason (DAT-VR)			

1. Gf (fluid intelligence)	Advanced Progressive Matrices (APM), Inductive reasoning subtest (PMA-R), abstract reasoning (DAT-AR)			
2. Gc (crystallized intelligence)	vocabulary (PMA-V), verbal reasoning (DAT-VR), numerical reasoning (DAT-NR)			
3. Gv (verbal intelligence)	Solid Figures, mental rotation (PMA-S), spatial relations (DAT-SR)			
Visser et al., 2006				
	200 Normal Controls (age range = 17-66, M = 22.7 (6.1))			
1. g (General intelligence)	Nec Arith Operations, Diagramming Relationships, Opposites, Paper Folding, Social Translations, Vocab, Map Planning,	PAF	15	1
	Subtraction and Multiplication, Consistency, Cartoon Predictions, Stork Stand, Mark Making, Tonal Accuracy			
MIXED/MULTIPLE GRPS				
Dickinson et al., 2004				
	97 Schizophrenia & 87 Normal Conrols			
1. Common Factor	Vocab, Sim, Info, PC, BD, MR, LNS, Spatial Span, DSym, Sym Search, LM I, LM II, VP I, VP II, Fac Rec I, II, Famly Pict I, II	SCFA	18	1
Dickinson et al., 2006				
	157 Normal Control	CFA	17	6
	148 Schizophrenia	CFA	17	6
1. Verbal comprehension	Vocab (WAIS-R), Visual Naming (MAE)			
2. Perceptual organization	Block Design (WAIS-R), Line Orientation (Benton)			
3. Verbal learning/memory	Trials 1-5 & Delayed Free Recall (CVLT), Logical Mem immediate & delayed (WMS-R)			
4. Visual learning/memory	Figural Memory immediate & delayed (WMS-R)			
5. Info processing speed	Symbol Cancellation Test, Trls A, Animal Naming (BDAE)			
6. Exec/Working memory	Digit Span (WAIS-R), Trls B, Categories & Persev. Erros (WCST)			
Genderson et al., 2007		l		
	125 NC (-5 due to kurtosis)	CFA*	21	7
	162 probands (-5 due to kurtosis)	CFA*	21	7
	94 SZ (-5 due to kurtosis)	CFA*	21	7
	382 full sample (-15 due to kurtosis)	CFA*	21	7
1. Speed	Trls A, Trls B, Let. Fluency, Cat. Fluency	l		
2. Target detection	CPT distraction, CPT viligance, Zero-back	l		
3. N back updating/ exec	One Back, Two Back, Three Back	1		
4. Verbal episodic memory	CVLT Trails 1-5, WM Log Memory, WM Pair Assoc I, Pair Assoc II			
5. Visual processing/memory	WM Visual Reprod I, Visual Reprod II, Benton Line,			
6. WCST executive function	WCST Persev Errors, WCST Categories			
7. Digit span	WMSR Forward, WMSR Backward			

<u>Gladsio et al., 2004</u>				
	209 Psychotic Disorder	CFA	21	6
	131 Normal Control	CFA	21	6
1. Verbal crystalized	WAIS-R Vocab, Info, Similarities; Boston Naming			
2. Attention/working mem	WAIS-R Arith, Digit Span			
3. Verbal episodic	CVLT Monday Total, Story Learning, CVLT Long-Deay Free Recall			
4. Speed of info processing	WAIS-R Digit Symbol, Trls A, Trls B, GPB, Digit Viligance, Let. Fluency			
5. Visual episodic	Figure Learning, Figure Delay			
6. Reasoning/problem solving	Block Design, Category, WCST			
Johnson et al., 2009				
	191 Normal Controls ( mean age = 75)	CFA	12	4
	115 autopsy confirmed AD (mean age = 80)	CFA	12	4
1. General (all measures)	** all of the tests are included in this factor			
2. Verbal memory	Information, Paired Associates Learning, BNT, Logical Memory			
3. Visuospatial	BVRT (Benton Visual Rec. Test), Digit Symbol, Trls A, Block Design			
4. Working memory	Word Fluency, Mental Control, Digit Span Backward, Digit Span Forward			
<u>Schretlen et al., 2009</u>				
	340 Normal Control	CFA	15	6
	126 Bipolar Disorder	CFA	15	6
	110 Schizophrenia	CFA	15	6
1. Attention	BTA-L, BTA-N, CPT-II			
2. Speed	TMT-A, TMT-B, GPT			
3. Fluency	Letter, Category, Design			
4. Visual memory	BVMT 1-3, BVMT Del			
5. Verbal memory	HVLT 1-3, HVLT Del			
6. Executive function	WCST Cat, WCST Err			
Siedlecki et al., 2008				
	322 Normal Control	CFA	15	5
	878 Questionable Dementia	CFA	15	5
	639 Alzheimer Disease	CFA	15	5

1. Processing speed	Shape Time (shapes) and TMX Time (letters) of Cancellation Task			
2. Memory	SRT (Selective Reminding Task) Total Recall, Delayed Recall, Delayed Recog, BVRT (Benton Visual) Recog			
3. Language	Naming (BNT), Repitition, Comprehension, Letter Fluency, Category Fluency			
4. Reasoning visual/spatial	WAIS Similarities, Identities/Oddities (MDRS), Rosen (drawing test), BVRT Matching (Benton Visual)			
5. Attention	TMX Omits (Letters)& Shape Omits of Cancellation Test,			
CLINICAL SAMPLES				
Frazier et al., 2004				
	1,364 mixed patient sample	RCA	21	4
1. Memory	WMS-III Auditory Immediate, Visual Immediate, Auditory Delayed, Visual Delayed, Auditory Recognition			
2. Visual motor	Trls A, Trls B, WAIS-III PSI, WAIS-III POI, Finger Tapping Dominant, Finger Tapping Non-Dominant, GBP Dom, GPB Ndom			
3. Language	WAIS-III VCI, WAIS-III POI, WRAT-3 Reading, BNT, Verbal Fluency			
4. Executive	WCST Perseverative Errors, WCST Categories			
Friis et al., 2002				
	219 Schizophrenia	EFA	17	5
	Controlled Oral Word Association Task (COWA). Diait Span w/distractor. Diait Span w/out distractor (Diait Span			
1. Working memory	Distractability Test), CPT hits			
2. Executive function	WCST Categories, WCST Perseverative Responses, WCST # attempts to first category			
3. Verbal learning	CVLT immediate recall, CVLT delayed free recall, CVLT errors			
4. Impulsivity	CPT false alarms (comissions), CPT Reation Time			
5. Motor speed	Finger Tapping			
Jaeger et al., 2003				
	156 Schizophrenia	BPCA	44	6
1. Attention	Concen Endurance (Letters -Errors), Stroop-Words, Stroop-Colors, Trls A, WMS-R Visual Mem, WAIS-R Digit Symbol			
2. Working memory	Concentration Endurance Test (Fluctuation), WAIS-R DS Forward, Letter Number Span # Correct, Longest, WAIS-R Arith, WAIS-R DS Backward, LMI			
3. Ideational fluency + WCST persev.	Ruff Fugural Fluency- Unique Designs, COWAT, Animal Naming, WCST Per Errors			
4. Learning	WMS-R LM I, LM II, WMS-R Verbal Paired I, Verbal Paired II, WMS-R VR I, VR II, WMS-R Visual Paired I, Visual Paired II			
5. Verbal knowledge	WAIS-R Vocab, Info, Comp, Similarities			
6. Non-Verbal function	WMS-R VR I, VR II, WAIS-R Block Design, Object Assembly, Pict Comp, Pict Arrangement			

<u>Czobor et al., 2007</u>				
	185 Schizophrenia, 65 Schizoaffective	EFA	29	6
	155 Bipolar Disorder	EFA, CFA	29	6
1. Attention	Concentration Endurance Test (Letters -Errors), Stroop-Words, Stroop-Colors, Trls A, WAIS-R Digit Symbol			
2. Working memory	Concen Endurance (Fluctuation), WMS-R DS Forward, Letter Number Span , WAIS-R Arith, WAIS-R DS Backward, LMI			
3. Ideational fluency + WCST persev.	Ruff Fugural Fluency- Unique Designs, COWAT, Animal Naming			
4. Learning	WMS-R Verbal Paired I, Verbal Paired II, WMS-R Visual Paired I, Visual Paired II			
5. Verbal knowledge	WAIS-R Vocab, Info, Comp, Similarities			
6. Non-Verbal function	WAIS-R Block Design, Pict Comp, Pict Arrangement			
Keefe et al., 2006				
	1,493 Schizophrenia (includes medical and substance abuse comorbidities)	PCA	24	5
1. Processing speed	COWAT, Category instance, GPB, WAIS-R Digit Symbol			
2. Reasoning	WCST (Perseverative errors & categories)			
3. Verbal memory	HVLT (total recall)			
4. Working memory	Computerized test of visuospatial working memory, letter-number sequencing (# correct)			
5. Viligance	CPT (d-prime)			
Williams et al., 2008	*verified factor structure found in Rowe et al. (2007)			
	56 First Episode Schizophrenia (mean age = 20)	РСА	19	7
1. Information processing & speed	Verbal Interference Test Part I, and II, Switching of Attention Test Parts I, and II, Choice Reaction Time test			
2. Verbal memory	Verbal Learning and Recall Test: delayed, recognition, immediate recall			
3. Viligance/sustained attention	CPT Reaction Time, CPT Errors			
4. Working memory capacity	Digit Span forward, Digit Span backward, Span of Visual Memory Test			
5. Sensori-motor function	average pause between taps on tapping test for dominant and non-dominant hands			
6. Verbal processing	Letter Fluency, Category Fluency			
7. Executive function	Maze complettion time, Maze overrun errors, Span of Visual Memory Test			

### **General Findings**

- Several models of latent cognitive structure have found empirical support in one or more population
  - A few have been replicated in multiple samples
  - And a few have been confirmed by CFA
- The measures included in an assessment strongly affect the nature of the latent cognitive model that is found
- Three "levels" of model complexity deserve particular attention
  - Single factor model: General cognitive ability (g)
  - Two-factor models: Crystallized and fluid abilities (Gc & Gf)
  - Multiple-factor models: Multiple cognitive domains

# Lumping vs. splitting

- A single summary measure of impairment or cognitive RFC ability has advantages
  - It is easily understood
  - More reliably measured than specific cognitive domains
  - Separate factors share common variance anyway
  - Summary measures correlate best with most outcomes
- Multiple factors have advantages too
  - No theoretical cognitive construct maps onto a summary impairment index
  - Summary scores might mask specific impairments or aspects of RFC that preclude or support employability
  - Scores for multiple measures are no harder to understand than a single summary score

### One-Factor Model: g

- Hundreds of studies document the existence of a single general mental ability, *g*, on which individuals differ
- g is a construct
  - That is not directly observable
  - Determined by genetic and environmental factors
- Arises from fact that performances on <u>all</u> cognitive tasks are positively correlated
  - <u>All</u> cognitive tests measure *g* (to varying degrees)
  - Thus, g is not tied to any specific test content such as words, numbers, or geometric patterns
  - Nor is g bound to any sex, age, or cultural group
- The *g* component of tests accounts for most of their predictive power

# **Distribution of Test Scores**

Wonderlic Personnel Test and	Wonderlic Scholastic Level Exam	1992 Normative Study	Total Number of Questions	Answered Correctly														and the second matter and when																					Total Applicant Population: 118,549	First Quartile: 16	Median: 21	Third Quartile: 26	Arithmetic Average: 21.06	Mode: 21	Chard Daniation 7 40
Score		2	3	4	5	6		8	6	10	11.1	12	13	14	15	17	18	19	20	21	52	20	25	26	27	28 5	29	30	31	32	34	35	36	37	38 48	39	40 40	41	42	43	44 45	46	47	48	
N 101	97	175	258	347	549	741	983	1,313	1,654	2,184	2,543	3,089	3,576	4,090	4,564 5 164	5.530	5,965	6,115	6,391	6,482	6,477	0,403	6,013 5,779	5,451	4,761	4,195	3,567	2,963	2,537	2,093	1 239	1,020	744	548	372	268	193	132	32	ΩC CF	<del>1</del>	6	9	4	c
%	0.08	0.15	0.22	0.29	0.46	0.63	0.83	1.11	1.40	1.84	2.15	2.61	3.02	3.45	3.85	4.67	5.03	5.16	5.39	5.47	5.46	0.40	4.88	4.60	4.02	3.54	3.01	2.50	2.14	1.77	1 05	0.86	0.63	0.46	0.31	0.23	0.16	0.11	0.08	c0.0	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.00	
200	0.17	0.32	0.53	0.83	1.29	1.91	2.74	3.85	5.25	7.09	9.23	11.84	14.86	18.31	22.16 26.51	31.18	36.21	41.37	46.76	52.22	57.69	03.09	73.04	77.63	81.65	85.19	88.20	90.70	92.84	94.60 05 00	92.99 97 04	97.90	98.52	98.99	99.30	99.53	99.69	99.80	99.88	99.93	99.97 00 08	99.99	99.99	100.00	The second s

### **Distribution of Test Scores**

Σ	%	N	Score	Wonderlie Personnel Test and
0.09	0.09	101	0	Wonderlic Personner Test and
0.17	0.08	97	1	Wonderlic Scholastic Level Exam
0.32	0.15	175	2	1992 Normative Study
0.53	0.22	258	3	Total Number of Questions
0.83	0.29	347	4	Answered Correctly
1.29	0.46	549	5	
1.91	0.63	741	6	
2.74	0.83	983	7	
3.85	1.11	1,313	8	
5.25	1.40	1,654	9	
7.09	1.84	2,184	10	
9.23	2.15	2,543	11	
11.84	2.61	3,089	12	
14.86	3.02	3,576	13	
8.31	3.45	4,090	14	
22.16	3.85	4,564	15	
6.51	4.36	5,164	16	THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.
31.18	4.67	5,530	17	
36.21	5.03	5,965	18	
11.37	5.16	6,115	19	The state of the s
16.76	5.39	6.391	20	
52.22	5.47	6,482	21	THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH
57.69	5.46	6.477	22	
3.09	5.40	6.403	23	
8.16	5.07	6.013	24	2
73.04	4.88	5,779	25	
77.63	4.60	5.451	26	THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP
81.65	4.02	4.761	27	
35 19	3.54	4 195	28	
88.20	3.01	3 567	29	The second s
90.70	2.50	2,963	30	
92 84	2.14	2.537	31	
94.60	1.77	2.093	32	
95.99	1.39	1.645	33	
7 04	1.05	1,010	34	
97.90	0.86	1,020	35	
18 52	0.63	744	36	
18 99	0.46	548	37	
99.30	0.31	372	38	
99.50	0.23	268	39	
99.69	0.16	193	40	
99.99	0.10	132	41	
99.90	0.08	05	47	
00.00	0.05	58	43	Total Applicant Population: 118,549
00.00	0.03	43	40	First Quartile: 16
00.08	0.04	17	44	Median: 21
00.00	0.01	17	45	Third Quartile: 26
00.00	0.01	6	40	Arithmetic Average: 21.06
00.00	0.01	0	4/	Made: 01
00.00	0.00	4	40	
00.00	0.00	2	49	Standard Deviation: 7.12
			50	

### TABLE 3: TEST SCORES BY POSITION APPLIED FOR SUMMARY (1992 NORMS)

Position Applied for	Companies 10 Reporting	15	20	25	30	35	40	N	Arith. Avg.	Mode	St
Attorney	7							18	29.67	16	6.
Hesearch Analyst	6							13	27.92	14	7.1
Editor & Assistant	12					-		116	28.84	30	5.5
Chomist	10					-		165	28.36	28	5.
Engineer	33							015	27.85	30	6.
Executive	46							210	20.00	27	6.
Manager Trainee	20							5 586	28.18	28	5.
Systems Analyst	12							33	27.52	31	6
Auditor	16							198	26.93	25	5.
Copywriter	5				-			116	26.88	28	5.
Accountant	49							503	26.26	26	6.
Manager/Supervisor	73					and a second		446	25.59	22	6.
Manager, Sales	48		-				1999 P	380	25.45	27	5.
Programmer, Analyst	59		-					979	26.42	25	6.
Teacher	10							500	26.01	24	6.
Adjuster	7				_			150	25.24	25	6.
Manager, General	43				-			456	24.63	24	5.
Purchasing Agent	33							404	24.94	24	5.
Nurse, Hegistered	13							121	23.56	25	5.
Administrative Acet	. 20				and the second	al all the set		480	23.91	20	6.
Manager Store	8							200	23.50	24	6. E
Bookkeener	62				_			742	23.30	27	5.
Clerk, Credit	25							615	22 53	24	6
Drafter, Designer	38				_			326	23.24	20	6.
Lab Tester & Tech.	21				-			217	22.69	18	6.
Manager, Assistant	20							315	22.80	26	6.
Sales, General	73							1,139	23.39	24	6.
Sales, Telephone	17		- H-					620	23.09	23	5.
Secretary	195				-	1000		2,992	22.49	23	6.
Clerk, Accounting	119				-			1,534	22.48	22	6.
Collector, Bad Debt	28							456	22.22	22	6.
Operator, Computer	52	1+			-			588	21.89	24	7.
Rep., Cust. Srvc.	110							2,866	22.06	24	6.
Sales Rep., Insurance	11							9,340	21.65	22	6.1
Technician	35				-			714	22.39	23	6.
Automotive Salesman	9							266	21.18	21	6.
Dienetebor	25							700	21.48	23	0.
Office General	66							703	21.41	20	6
Police Patrol Off	15							1 854	20.02	20	6
Receptionist	143							1 364	20.50	20	5
Cashier	38							1 411	20.21	22	6
Clerical, General	123							4 768	20.32	22	6
Inside Sales Clerk	54							2.448	19.98	21	6.
Meter Reader	4							1,135	20,59	22	6.
Printer	18							332	19.87	21	6.
Teller	48							4,002	20.34	20	6.
Data Entry	93	H						1,200	19.47	18	6.
Electrical Helper	7	H						407	19.99	18	6.
Machinist	31	-		_				381	19.54	18	6.
Manager, Food Dept.	9			_				389	19.14	21	6.
Quality Control Chkr.	14	-						248	19.19	19	6.
Claims Clerk	17	. ⊨						629	18.31	17	5.
Unver, Deliveryman	60			-				1,865	18.58	17	7.
Guard, Security	28			-				534	18.19	17	7.
Labor, Unskilled	56							1,887	18.07	18	6.
Operator Mashing	/1							952	18.08	19	1.
Are Wolder Die Sett	00			-		a free and a second		1,433	18.44	12	6.
Mechanic	20			-				400	17.05	10	0.
Medical Dontal Acet	30							3/6	19.05	14	0.
Messenger	5							162	17.05	14	0.
Production Factory	50				E S			5 325	17.95	15	0.
Assembler	35							5,525	16.05	16	0.
Food Service Worker	11							310	16.31	10	0. 6
Nurse's Aide	7							424	16.59	18	6
Warehouseman	72							6.830	16.44	16	7
Custodian & Janitor	28							460	15.12	14	7
Material Handler	15							400	15.57	10	6
											5.

### Some Implications & Questions

Position	No. of Companies 10 15 Reporting	20	25 30	35	40	Arith.	Made	Sto
Applied for	neporting				N	Avg.	Mode	De
Attorney	7				18	29.67	16	6.93
Hesearch Analyst	6				13	27.92	14	7.8
Editor & Assistant	12			-	116	28.84	30	5.56
Manager, Advertising	16		+	-	165	28.36	28	5.28
Chemist	12	Contraction of the Contraction	+	21110	61	27.85	30	6.28
Engineer	33	1000 C		-	215	28.06	27	6.89
Executive	46			-	361	28.70	28	6.03
Manager, Trainee	20			Carlo and and	5.586	28.18	28	5.65
Systems Analyst	12			Setter Barbart	33	27.52	31	6.12
Auditor	16	_	the second se		198	26.93	25	5.6
Copywriter	5				116	26.88	28	5.6
Accountant	49	COLUMN TWO IS NOT	and the second s	COLUMN TWO IS NOT	503	26.26	26	6.01
Managar/Supervisor	72			1242	446	25 50	22	6.0
Managar Salas	49				290	DE AE	27	6.7/
Brookommor Anohiot	40				380	20.40	21	0.74
Flogiannier, Analyst	59				9/9	20.42	25	0./1
reacher	10				500	26.01	24	6.51
Adjuster	1				150	25.24	25	6.14
Manager, General	43				456	24.63	24	5.80
Purchasing Agent	33				404	24.94	24	5.71
Nurse, Registered	13	+			121	23.56	25	5.82
Sales, Account Exec.	. 28				480	23.91	26	6.11
Administrative Asst.	70				710	23.56	24	6.13
Manager, Store	8				209	23.90	27	5.82
Bookkeeper	62	+++			742	23.36	25	6.27
Clerk, Credit	25	_			615	22.53	24	6.14
Drafter Designer	38				326	23.24	20	6.40
Lah Tester & Tech	21				217	22.60	18	6.29
Managar Accistant	20				215	22.00	26	0.20
Relea Conerel	20				1 400	22.00	20	0.01
Sales, General	13				1,139	23.39	24	0.22
Sales, Telephone	17				620	23.09	23	5.32
Secretary	195		-		2,992	22.49	23	6.02
Clerk, Accounting	119				1,534	22.48	22	6.36
Collector, Bad Debt	28	+++		1995 BORNE	456	22.22	22	6.49
Operator, Computer	52			100 1000	588	21.89	24	7.25
Rep., Cust. Srvc.	110				2,866	22.06	24	6.17
Sales Rep., Insurance	11				9,340	21.65	22	6.06
Technician	35				714	22.39	23	6.81
Automotive Salesman	9		-		266	21.18	21	6.46
Clerk, Typist	25	_			785	21.48	23	6.37
Dispatcher	27				703	21.41	22	6.20
Office, General	66				784	21.82	20	6.32
Police Patrol Off	15				1.854	20.03	21	6.1/
Recentionist	143				1 364	20.50	20	5.80
Cachior	20		the second second	rise and the second	1.411	20.01	20	6.00
Clarical Occarrol	100				4.700	00.00	22	0.02
Ineida Salae Clark	54				4,/00	10.02	21	0.10
Motor Dandar					2,448	19.90	21	50.0
Meter Header	4				1,135	20.59	22	6.74
rinitel	18				332	19.87	21	6.10
rener	48				4,002	20.34	20	6.46
Data Entry	93				1,200	19.47	18	6.45
Electrical Helper	7				407	19.99	18	6.88
Machinist	31				381	19.54	18	6.43
Manager, Food Dept.	9				389	19.14	21	6.95
Quality Control Chkr.	14				248	19.19	19	6.39
Claims Clerk	17	-	the second second	Service Sciences	629	18.31	17	5.64
Driver Deliveryman	60				1 865	18 58	17	7.21
Guard Security	28				534	18.19	17	7 99
Labor Unskilled	56				1 007	10.10	10	6.44
Maintonance	71				1,007	10.07	10	7.04
man wellance	00				952	18.08	19	7.03
operator, Machine	60				1,433	18.44	17	6.72
Arc welder, Die Sett.	26				456	17.81	13	6.67
Mechanic	38				376	17.05	19	6.54
Medical-Dental Asst.	22				292	18.05	14	5.99
Messenger	5				163	17.95	15	6.98
Production, Factory	50				5.325	17.05	18	6.8
Assembler	35		the second states and second	COLUMN STREET	682	16.27	16	6.36
Food Service Worker	11				340	16.31	12	65
Nursa's Aida	7	AND DESCRIPTION OF			404	16.50	18	6.43
Warahousoman	70				6 000	10.00	10	7.07
warenouseman	12				6,830	10.44	16	7.07
oustodian & Janitor	28				460	15.12	14	7.48
material Handler	15				400	15.57	10	6.66
Gaalar	12	and the second se	the second s	COLUMN TWO IS NOT	100	14.69	10	5.0.0

- 25% of workers fall below 1<sup>st</sup> quartile
- What point in the distribution of incumbents' scores defines insufficient RFC to meet job demands?
  - 25<sup>th</sup> %ile, 2<sup>nd</sup> %ile
- How "well" must a disability applicant be able to perform a job in order to be <u>not</u> disabled?
  - Poor employees are the first laid off
  - Job placement vs. job maintenance
- What is "fair" to non-disabled workers?

### Comment

- The single-factor *g* model has advantages
  - It is parsimonious
  - -g is well documented and highly defensible
  - We can measure it reliably in many languages
  - Individual differences in g are robust, easily assessed, and strongly predictive of occupational attainment, work performance, and income in normal, healthy persons
  - We can obtain a reasonable estimate of g in a few minutes, using such instruments as the Wonderlic Personnel Test
- It also has limitations
  - Lacks sensitivity to many types of brain dysfunction
  - Does not capture more circumscribed cognitive deficits
  - Thus, might not measure <u>residual</u> functional capacity very well

### **Two-Factor Model**

- Many studies distinguish between highly over-learned skills or knowledge (Crystallized abilities or Gc) and current, online information processing (Fluid abilities or Gf)
  - Gc: vocabulary, fund of information, mathematical ability
  - Gf: novel problem solving, reasoning, speed of processing
  - Gc grows rapidly in childhood, and more slowly in adulthood, and then declines in very late life
  - Gf grows rapidly in childhood, peaks around age 20, and then declines throughout adulthood
  - Gc is more affected than Gf by education
  - Gf is more sensitive than Gc to brain dysfunction

### MENTAL STATUS EXAMINATION-TELEPHONE VERSION David J. Schretlen, PhD

Application of a
Two-Factor Model
(well, sort of)

Name ()	// Age Sex
Test Item & Instructions	Scoring Criteria Score
<ol> <li>What is today's date? (Prompt for each part as needed.)</li> </ol>	Day Date Month Year Score 1 point for each correct part of date/4
<ol> <li>Next I am going to read a list of nine words. Please listen carefully. When I am done, tell me as many words as you can remember in any order. Ready? (Recite each word only once)</li> </ol>	dentistpeppershoes mustardwaitresspants teacherhatvanilla Score 1 point for each word recalled/9
3. Now I am going to read the same list of nine words. After I am through, tell me as many words as you can remember, including words you said the first time. Ready?	dentistpeppershoes mustardwaitresspants teacherhatvanilla/9 Score 1 point for each word recalled.
<ol> <li>How much is 100 minus 7? And how much is 7 from that? And 7 from that? Keep going.</li> <li>(Do not correct errors, but allow subject to subtract from each prior response)</li> </ol>	5 <u>93</u> 86 79 72 65 //5 Write subject's response after each subtraction and score 1 point for each correct difference (e.g., "93-85-77-70-64" would receive a score of 2).
<ol> <li>The opposite of up is down. What is the oppo of <u>empty</u>? What is the opposite of <u>shallow</u>? And the opposite of <u>remain</u>? And the opposite of <u>seldom</u>? And the opposite of <u>learn</u>? Score 1 point for each correct answer.</li> </ol>	<pre>sitefull or fill deep (<u>not</u> clear, dark, full or wide) depart, leave, go, move or change (<u>not</u> stay) often or frequently (<u>not</u> always) forget or teach (<u>not</u> dumb, fail, or ignore)/5</pre>
<ol> <li>How much does 5 + 6 equal? How much does 17 - 9 equal? How much does 4 x 16 equal? How much does 70 ÷ 5 equal? Score 1 point for each correct answer.</li> </ol>	11 8 64 14/4
<ol> <li>How many months are there in a year? Who was the first President of the United States? On what continent is the Sahara Desert? What kind of tree will grow from an acorn? How many square feet are in a square yard? Score 1 point for each correct answer.</li> </ol>	P 12 George Washington (or Washington) Africa (or African continent) oak (or oak tree) 9/5
<ol> <li>A few minutes ago I read a list of nine words you. Now I want you to tell me as many of the words on that list as you can remember.</li> <li>Score 1 point for each word recalled</li> </ol>	todentistpeppershoes waitresspants teacherhatvanilla/9

### MSE-TV in SSDI/SSI Beneficiaries

Variable	ABC Full Sample (n = 234)	ABC Matched Sample (n = 139)	SSA Sample (n = 139)
Age (years)	54 <u>+</u> 17	43 <u>+</u> 13	41 <u>+</u> 11
Sex (M:F%)	44:56	42:58	45:55
Race (W:B:O%)	79:18:2	68:29:3	26:64:5
Educ. (years)	14 <u>+</u> 3	14 <u>+</u> 3	N/A
MMSE	28 <u>+</u> 2	28 <u>+</u> 2	24 <u>+</u> 4

### PCA with Varimax Rotation Factor Loadings for ABC and SSA Samples

Question	Factor 1 General Ability		Factor 2 Learning/Memory		Factor 3 Orientation	
	ABC	SSA	ABC	SSA	ABC	SSA
Orientation					.93	.99
Word recall (1)			.75	.84		
Word recall (2)			.83	.86		
Serial 7's	.77	.79				
Opposites	.68	.80				
Arithmetic	.60	.80				
Information	.73	.69				
Word recall (3)			.82	.78		

# Correlations of MSE-TV Scores with Other Cognitive Measures

Variable	MSE-TV Total	MMSE Total	Factor 1 General Ability	Factor 2 Learning & Memory	Factor 3 Temporal Orientation
WAIS-R Sum SS	0.63**	0.53**	0.66**	0.42**	0.02
NART IQ	0.58**	0.37**	0.69**	0.32**	0.03
HVLT Learning	0.48**	0.30**	0.27**	0.50**	0.05
HVLT Delay	0.44**	0.27**	0.27**	0.45**	0.13
BVMT Learning	0.44**	0.33**	0.27**	0.40**	0.06
BVMT Delay	0.35**	0.33**	0.21**	0.40**	0.07

# Group Differences in MSE-TV Scores

MSE-TV Variable	Healthy Controls (N = 139)	Affective Disorder (N = 59)	Schizophrenia Spectrum (N = 36)	Cognitive Disorder (N = 18)	Mental Retardation (N = 20)
Total	39.0 <u>+</u> 5.5 <sub>a</sub>	31.4 <u>+</u> 7.5 <sub>b</sub>	29.2 <u>+</u> 5.8 <sub>b</sub>	27.1 <u>+</u> 6.6 <sub>b</sub>	20.8 <u>+</u> 6.4 <sub>c</sub>
Factor 1	14.5 <u>+</u> 3.2 <sub>a</sub>	10.9 <u>+</u> 4.4 <sub>b</sub>	10.8 <u>+</u> 3.5 <sub>b</sub>	8.9 <u>+</u> 4.5 <sub>b</sub>	4.7 <u>+</u> 3.0 <sub>c</sub>
Factor 2	20.6 <u>+</u> 3.4 <sub>a</sub>	16.5 <u>+</u> 3.9 <sub>b</sub>	14.5 <u>+</u> 3.8 <sub>b</sub>	14.2 <u>+</u> 4.0 <sub>b</sub>	12.2 <u>+</u> 4.5 <sub>c</sub>
Factor 3	3.9 <u>+</u> 0.3	4.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	3.9 <u>+</u> 0.4	3.9 <u>+</u> 0.2	4.0 <u>+</u> 0.2



### MSE-TV Score by Clinical Diagnosis

### **Comment on Two-Factor Models**

- Allow for slightly more fine-grained assessment of cognitive functioning and impairments
- Gc reflects over-learned "premorbid" verbal abilities that are relatively insensitive to aging and brain dysfunction
- Gf reflects current nonverbal problem solving abilities that are sensitive to age and brain dysfunction
- These two factors can be combined into one

### **Multiple-Factor Models**

- Several multiple-factor models emerged from our (selective) review of the literature
- The most robust and well-replicated factors include
  - General mental ability (g)
  - Verbal learning and memory
  - Processing speed
- Somewhat less clear (in terms of independence)
  - Working memory
  - Attention/concentration
  - Executive functioning
  - Ideational fluency

# Johns Hopkins Confirmatory Factor Analysis in Three Populations

- Determine whether the same hypothesized latent factors would characterize cognitive functioning in three groups
- Test hypothesized model against specific alternatives
- Hypothesized model based on previous study (Schretlen et al, 2007)

### **Participants and Method**

Recruited 576 participants, including 340 reasonably healthy adults (NC), 110 relatively stable individuals with schizophrenia (SZ), and 126 relatively stable persons with bipolar disorder (BD).

All participants underwent cognitive testing.

	NC	SZ	BD		
	(n = 340)	(n=110)	(n=126)	Statistic	р
Age (years)	54 ± 19	40 ± 11	42 ± 11	$F_{(2,571)} = 44.1$	<.001
Sex (male, %)	44	70	40	$\chi^2_{(2)} = 28.2$	<.001
Race (w:b:o %)	79:18:3	39:55:6	55:40:5	$\chi^2_{(4)} = 68.9$	<.001
Education (years)	14 ± 3	12 ± 2	14 ± 3	$F_{(2,571)} = 19.5$	<.001
Est. premorbid IQ	105 ± 10	97 ± 11	103 ± 12	$F_{(2,,571)} = 23.3$	<.001

### **Clinical Characteristics of the Patients**

	SZ	BD		
	(n=110)	(n=126)	Statistic	p
Age at onset, years	23 ± 7	25 ± 9	$t_{(212)} = -1.8$	.064
Illness duration, years	17 ± 11	18 ± 11	t <sub>(212)</sub> = -0.6	.519
# Hospitalizations	5.0 ± 5.6	3.7 ± 5.1	$t_{(210)} = 1.8$	.066
SANS (sum)	8.9 ± 5.5	1.8 ± 2.4	$t_{(193)} = 8.6$	.001
SAPS (sum)	4.7 ± 3.8	1.0 ± 1.8	$t_{(191)} = 11.9$	.001
Typical antipsychotic (%)	34	5	$\chi^2_{(1)} = 14.7$	.001
Atypical antipsychotic (%)	74	47	$\chi^2_{(1)} = 13.9$	.001
Antidepressant (%)	23	48	$\chi^2_{(1)} = 12.0$	.002
Lithium (%)	4	56	$\chi^2_{(1)} = 58.6$	.001
Anticonvulsant (%)	12	44	$\chi^2_{(1)} = 23.7$	.001

# **Competing Models**

### **Six-Factor Model**

Factor	Measures
Psychomotor Speed	TMT-A, TMT-B, and GPT (mean of both hands)
Attention	BTA-L, BTA-N, and CPT Hit RTse
Ideational Fluency	Letter, Category, and Design Fluency
Verbal Memory	HVLT-R Learning and delayed recall
Visual Memory	BVMT-R Learning and delayed recall
Executive Function	mWCST category sorts and errors

### Six-Factor Model with TMT-B on EF

Factors	Measures
Psychomotor Speed	TMT-A and GPT (mean of both hands)
Attention	BTA-L, BTA-N, and CPT Hit RTse
Ideational Fluency	Letter, Category, and Design Fluency
Verbal Memory	HVLT-R Learning and delayed recall
Visual Memory	BVMT-R Learning and delayed recall
Executive Function	TMT-B mWCST categories and errors

### Five-Factor "Speed" Model

Factors	Measures
Psychomotor Speed	TMT-A, TMT-B, GPT, Letter, Category, and Design
Attention	BTA-L, BTA-N and CPT Hit RTse
Verbal Memory	HVLT-R Learning and delayed recall
Visual Memory	BVMT-R Learning and delayed recall
Executive Function	mWCST category sorts and errors

### Five-Factor "Memory" Model

Factors	Measures
Psychomotor Speed	TMT-A, TMT-B and GPT (mean of both hands)
Attention	BTA-L, BTA-N and CPT Hit RTse
Ideational Fluency	Letter, Category, and Design Fluency
Memory	HVLT-R and BVMT-R learning and delayed recall
Executive Function	Wcst categories and Wcst errors

### **Four-Factor Model**



### **One-Factor Model**

Factors	Measures
General Cognition	All measures

# **Evaluating CFA Results**

Statistic	Name	Recommended Values
χ²/df	Chi-square/df	< 3 is a good fit
RMSEA	Root mean square error of approximation	< 0.05 is a very good fit < 0.08 is a reasonable fit
NNFI	Non-normed fit index	<ul><li>&gt; 0.95 is a close fit</li><li>&gt; 0.90 is a good fit</li></ul>
CFI	Comparative fit index	<ul><li>&gt; 0.95 is a close fit</li><li>&gt; 0.90 is a good fit</li></ul>

### **CFA Results: Six-Factor Models**

### Six-Factor Model

Group	χ²/df	RMSEA	NNFI	CFI
Combined	2.50	0.051	0.99	0.99
NC	1.79	0.048	0.98	0.99
BD	1.63	0.071	0.96	0.97
SZ	1.40	0.060	0.98	0.98

# Six-Factor Model with TMT-B in EF

Group	χ²/df	RMSEA	NNFI	CFI
Combined	4.92	0.083	0.95	0.96
NC	3.44	0.085	0.93	0.95
BD	1.93	0.087	0.94	0.95
SZ	2.03	0.097	0.92	0.94

# **CFA Results: Five-Factor Models**

### Five-Factor "Speed" Model

Group	χ²/df	RMSEA	NNFI	CFI
Combined	4.75	0.081	0.96	0.97
NC	3.38	0.084	0.95	0.96
BD	1.82	0.081	0.95	0.96
SZ	1.54	0.071	0.96	0.97

### Five-Factor "Memory" Model

Group	χ²/df	RMSEA	NNFI	CFI
Combined	10.16	0.126	0.89	0.92
NC	4.41	0.100	0.91	0.93
BD	2.59	0.112	0.87	0.90
SZ	2.68	0.124	0.89	0.91

## CFA Results: Remaining Models

### **Four-Factor Model**

Group	χ²/df	RMSEA	NNFI	CFI
Combined	11.01	0.132	0.90	0.92
NC	5.69	0.117	0.89	0.91
BD	2.75	0.118	0.87	0.89
SZ	2.76	0.127	0.88	0.91

### One-Factor (g) Model

Group	χ²/df	RMSEA	NNFI	CFI
Combined	18.89	0.176	0.76	0.80
NC	12.15	0.181	0.70	0.74
BD	3.95	0.165	0.78	0.81
SZ	4.65	0.171	0.72	0.76



Factor loadings: Entire Sample; Normal Controls; Bipolar disorder; Schizophrenia

### Comment

- In this CFA, the hypothesized six-factor model showed a good to excellent fit by all evaluative measures
- Other hypothesized models did not fit the data as well
- However, another ensemble of tests almost certainly would yield a different "optimal" solution
- Therefore, the question of whether to assess mental FRA using a multi-factor model probably should precede the selection of which domains to assess
  - My personal recommendation is to assess 3–6 domains

# **Other Big Issues**

- Shall we use performance-based measures or informant rating scales, or both?
  - And who should administer them? Change models?
- How shall we validate decision criteria?
  - I know of no existing data defining disability "thresholds"
- Shall we use available measures or create a proprietary set that SSA creates, standardizes, and updates?
  - This would be my recommendation for many reasons
  - Existing tests become obsolete, raise royalty issues
- There is a theme: The need to design and conduct a couple studies

